

Epistemic Audit Graph

Turn disputes into actionable evidence challenges.

A five-minute prototype introduction for WikiCred / CredCo.


<https://tasuku-9.github.io/epistemic-audit-graph/>

Click path: Open Claim Cascade -> Claim Lifecycle Workspace -> K-Pg graph -> Park-condition propagation

WHAT IT IS

Not truth scoring. Claim-state management.

A claim is not only true or false. It can move through states.



Prevents status collapse: proposed, parked, and accepted claims stay separate.

A dispute often means the claim state is unresolved.

The hidden issue may be source strength, inference beyond the source, counterevidence, or unstated assumptions.

strong claim

stable

well-supported, scoped, reviewable

contributing hypothesis

partial

plausible but incomplete

contested claim

contested

source- or inference-sensitive

open question


open

what evidence would move it?

The point is not to decide the winner. The point is to make claim states inspectable.

Weak claims are not deleted. They are parked with reasons.


A parked claim is visible, not accepted, and reopenable only under stated conditions.



This is non-destructive downgrading.

Uncertainty travels through inference.

A citation link is not enough. The derived claim should carry forward evidence conditions and inference assumptions.



Uncertainty travels. The graph makes it visible.


SUMMARY

Claim lifecycle management turns disputes into evidence work.

A claim can be downgraded, parked, reopened, or accepted without collapsing those states.



Which WikiCred workflow should become the first evidence challenge?



- Disputed article-section claim audits
- Source-lineage / citation-loop maps
- Talk page summaries into claim states
- Synthesis, original research, or undue-weight risk flags

<https://tasuku-9.github.io/epistemic-audit-graph/>